

834

ई मेल, फ़ैक्स एवं स्पीड पोस्ट



भारत सरकार / Government of India

खान मंत्रालय / Ministry of Mines

भारतीय खान ब्यूरो / Indian Bureau of Mines

क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक का कार्यालय / OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL CONTROLLER OF MINES

100, ओल्ड नेहरू कालोनी, देहरादून (उत्तराखण्ड) 248001 / 100 Old Nehru Colony, Dehradun

(U.K.)248001

TEL- 0135-2676350 / 2671896, FAX-0135-2674962; E-mail - ro.dehradun@ibm.gov.in



फाईल संख्या File No: 614(2)/MPB-232/06-DDN

देहरादून , दिनांक 06.01.2018

सेवा में To श्री पंकज पाण्डे,  
भूवैज्ञानिक,  
बी -1/21, सेक्टर -बी,  
अलीगंज लखनऊ, (30 प्र0)

Sub : Submission of review and updation of Mining Plan with Progressive Mine Closure in respect of Chak-Ishar-Dass limestone mine over an area of 115.33 hectares near village Chak-Ishar-Dass, Tehsil- Anantnag, District Anantnag, State-J&K. in respect of M/s Illahi Cement Industries submitted under Rule 17(1) of Minerals (Other than Atomic And Hydro Carbons Energy Minerals) Concession Rule, 2016 &23 of MCDR 2017

संदर्भ/Ref. आपका पत्र संख्या -शून्य दि0 08.12.2017

महोदय/ Sir,

This office is in receipt of two copies of the above-mentioned draft Review & Updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan on 19.12.2017. On examination of the same the discrepancies / deficiencies observed have been listed in annexure.

You are advised to correct the submitted review and updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan as per deficiencies /discrepancies pointed in the enclosed annexure as scrutiny comments and submit 3 fair copies of the review and updation of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter after corrections in hard bound copies (no spiral binding). If the fair copies of Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan will not be submitted within stipulated time, final action will be taken as per rule. Two CDs of the fair Mining Plan including Progressive Mine Closure Plan may also be submitted including text, plates and annexures. On receipt of additional comments from State government, it shall be communicated to you subsequently. In case if it is necessary to incorporate the additional information, the details of the same should be given along with page numbers.

पत्र प्रेषित किया  
दि 09/11/2018



1288

You are further advised to prepare the fair copies carefully and ensure that it is correct in all respect. Preferably use of paper on both the side should be made. If again deficiencies are observed then final action will be taken by this office without returning the copies for correction. This issues with the approval of competent authority.

Encl: as above.

भवदीय Yours faithfully,

8/1/18

(एस.सकलानी S Saklani)

सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक Assistant Mining Geologist  
कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी For DCOM & Officer In Charge  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

am

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ प्रेषित :-

- 1- खान नियंत्रक (उत्तर), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर ।
- 2- मै0 इलाही सीमेंट इंडस्ट्रीज, श्री मलिक रियाज अहमद शाह, ग्राम इंडस्ट्रीयल एरिया, अंचिदोरा (आजादपुरा), तहसील एवं जिला - अनंतनाग, जे एण्ड के। M/s Illahi Cement Industries, Mr.Malik Reaz Ahamad Shah, Village-Industrial Area, Anchidora (Azadpora) Tehsil & District- Anantnag(J&K)
- 3- उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी, भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, क्षेत्रीय कैम्प कार्यालय, एनसीआर, सीजीओ कॉम्प्लेक्स नई दिल्ली । *Sent by mail.*

8/1/18

am सहायक खनन भूवैज्ञानिक Assistant Mining Geologist  
कृते उप खान नियंत्रक एवं प्रभारी अधिकारी For DCOM & Officer In Charge  
भारतीय खान ब्यूरो Indian Bureau of Mines

Mukesh cl.3 D:\desktop data\Unicode\Unicode For Scr. Chak -Ishar-Dass JS Mine of Illahi Cement Industries SS.doc

8/1/18



**Scrutiny comments indicating deficiencies in respect of Review and updation of Mining Plan with PMCP of Check Issar Dass limestone mine of M/s Illahi Cement Industries(115.33 hect.) in Anantnag district of J&K State submitted under Rule 17(1) of MCR 2016 & 23 of MCDR 2017.**

1. Authentic lease plan with all the Khasra details of the villages duly verified by Geology & Mining department of State Govt showing the location of the lease area with DGPS coordinates of boundary pillars has not been enclosed.
2. Plan period for the year 2017-18 should be mentioned as w.e.f final submission to 2021-22. No retrospective approval for 2017-18 shall be given for April 2017 to December 2017.
3. Copy of approval letter of scheme of mining approved on the last occasion is not enclosed.
4. Being the partnership firm, the resolution in favour of managing partner shall be given.
5. Pin code is not indicated in the postal address of lessee.
6. The actual work done in the PMCP proposals should be clear cut and to be reflected in reclamation plan, environment plan and surface plan.
7. Exploration proposals are indicated for the year 2017-18 on page 14 and 29. Hardly three months are left for the year 2017-18. Under these circumstances, exploration proposals are required to be defined appropriately.
8. On perusal of production schedule, every year production has been enhanced but justification has not been given in this regard.
9. Method of mining is mechanised as deployment of excavator and tippers as well as drilling and blasting is proposed. Therefore the method of mining can not be termed as semi mechanised as given/proposed in various paras of submitted documents.
10. On page 23 of the text it is mentioned that small scale not call for any specific development. It is not correct.
11. On page 30 under table post mining land use the area 2.32 hectares is shown at the end of mining plan. It should be 3.47 hectares.
12. On page 41 under air quality, monitoring for fugitive emission not given.
13. On page 44 under para 8.3.4 the dumps are indicated but not shown in the relevant plates.



14. Production to the tune of 62700 tonnes is proposed for the first year on page 25. Nearly three months are left of year 2017-18. Thus production schedule for the first year is to be revised comparatively.
15. On page 24 of the text it is mentioned that soil and interburden is to be generated is tabulated below but no such interburden and soil is indicated.
16. Drilling, blasting with jack hammer with ordinary detonator will lead to lot of ground vibration and air over pressure in eco sensitive zone. Thus both drilling and blasting need to be optimized further so as to give concrete proposals to keep ground vibration under control.
17. The mine is located on hill slope. Hence adequate proposals should be incorporated, like controlled blasting techniques, erecting retaining walls, check dams, parapet walls to ensure safe and systematic mining for ensuing five years.
18. Being the hilly terrain suitable fencing proposals are not given in PMCP at para 8.3.
19. All the proposals should be made within the ML only.
20. There are several typographical mistakes which require to be corrected.
21. All the annexures should be attested by qualified persons for their authenticity.
22. Two CDs covering the entire document and plans should be enclosed at the time of final submission. Undertaking in this regard by the qualified person should be given that the CD contains the same text & plates as submitted in hard copy. KML file shall also be submitted alongwith final submission.
23. By virtue of above examination it is - likely that the pit configuration during the proposal period will be affected and it will affect the area put to use thus. Calculation of financial assurance should also be made/ validated in light of above and accordingly the Financial Assurance should be furnished as per MCDR 17.
24. Annexure -6 is not clear. It appears that it is the translation in english of partnership deed. Further it also appears that it has

LL



been translated on 2<sup>nd</sup> of December 2017 and the same has been mentioned in the translation. It is irrelevant.

### **Plates**

25. 3 ground control points with reference to mining lease on surface plan are not shown.
26. UPL is not shown on surface plan.
27. Level of exploration on G-axis is not given on SGP.
28. The specific year and period for 2017-18 i.e. Jan 18 to March 18, 2018-19, 2019-20..... shall be marked on plate 6 onwards.
29. Sections depicting yearwise excavation proposals shall be superimposed on geological sections only. No new arbitrary section to be given.
30. Except Environmental Plan, all other plans & sections should be restricted to mine lease area only. No proposal should be made outside the ML area.
31. More sections on geological plan showing UPL shall be given.
32. SP, SGP, EP- surface features are not shown as per actual ground condition, similarly is with SGP and EP. Being the statutory plan utmost care is to be taken for preparing the plan.
33. Conceptual plan has got the impact on calculation of R&R and thus it is to be drawn carefully & should be implementable.



C:\Users\Acer\Desktop\S Sakiani\SL Check Issar Dass resubmitted2.doc